# **Public Document Pack**

# **Minutes**

#### **NORTH PLANNING COMMITTEE**

## **5 October 2010**



Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW

## **Committee Members Present:**

Councillors Eddie Lavery (Chairman), Allan Kauffman (Vice-Chairman), Dave Allam (Labour Lead), Jazz Dhillon, Michael Markham, Carol Melvin, and David Payne.

## **LBH Officers Present**:

Natasha Dogra, Democratic Services.

Keith Lancaster, Legal Services.

Richard Philips, Planning, Environmental & Community Services.

James Rodger, Planning and Enforcement.

Syed Shah, Planning, Environment & Community Services.

### Also Present:

Cllr Ray Graham.

24.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (Agenda Item 1)	Action by
	None.	
25.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING (Agenda Item 2)	Action by
	None.	
26.	TO SIGN AND RECEIVE THE MINUTES OF THE 5TH AUGUST AND 26TH AUGUST MEETINGS. (Agenda Item 3)	Action by
	The minutes were agreed as an accurate record.	
27.	MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE OR URGENT (Agenda Item 4)	Action by
	No matters had been notified in advance or as urgent.	
28.	TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MARKED PART 1 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THAT THE ITEMS MARKED PART 2 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE (Agenda Item 5)	Action by

	All items on the agenda were marked Part I and were considered in public.	
29.	12 EASTBURY ROAD, NORTHWOOD 1901/APP/2010/244 (Agenda Item 6)	Action by
	In accordance with the Council's constitution a representative of the petition received in support of the proposal was invited to address the meeting.	
	Points raised by the petitioner:  The building was Locally Listed and within the Northwood Conservation Area.  The proposed extension would be unduly intrusive.  The proposed design would not be in keeping with the area's character and so would look out of place.  The side dormers appeared to be too large.  The proposed fire escape was unsightly and intrusive  The proposed boundary hedging appeared to be insufficient.  The site would infringe on the privacy of surrounding residents.	
	Members commended Officers on a very comprehensive report. Members highlighted their concerns over the proposed design of the staircase – Officers directed Members to the addendum sheet where the staircase was included as a reason (6) for refusal. The Committee agreed that the proposed site would be unsettling for residents.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be refused. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed refusal unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be refused as per the Officers' recommendation and as per the addendum.	
30.	12 EASTBURY ROAD, NORTHWOOD 1901/APP/2010/245 (Agenda Item 7)	Action by
	Officers presented this reported jointly with Item 6 on the agenda.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be refused. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed refusal unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be refused as per the Officers' recommendation and as per the addendum.	
31.	MOD EASTCOTE, LIME GROVE, RUISLIP 10189/APP/2009/1117 (Agenda Item 8)	Action by
	Officers presented their report to the Committee. Officers' addendum suggested the additional of the following paragraphs:	
	'Waiting Restrictions at Lime Grove:	
	Waiting restrictions along Lime Grove formed part of the outline	

	planning permission for the redevelopment of the RAF site. The waiting restrictions were required as previously two way traffic was impaired due to parked cars and there was ad hoc shuttle parking. This was regularised by the introduction of waiting restrictions at predetermined stretches of the highway. The waiting restrictions are from 8:00-9:00 am and 4:30-6:30 pm and double yellow lines at junctions.  These works were secured by the S106 Agreement attached to the outline consent and Condition 40 of that permission. The requisite public consultations were carried out and the necessary traffic orders were made. The works have been carried out in accordance with the S106 and 278 Agreements.'	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be approved. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed approval unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be approval as per the Officers' recommendation and as per the addendum.	
32.	MOD EASTCOTE, LIME GROVE, RUISLIP 10189/APP/2010/168 (Agenda Item 9)	Action by
	Officers presented this reported jointly with Item 9 on the agenda.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be approved. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed approval unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be approval as per the Officers' recommendation and as per the addendum.	
33.	63 LIME GROVE, EASTCOTE 27575/APP/2010/1983 (Agenda Item 10)	Action by
	Officers presented their report to the Committee.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be refused. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed refusal unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be refused as per the Officers' recommendation and as per the addendum.	
34.	66 LONG LANE, ICKENHAM 39319/APP/2010/1601 (Agenda Item 11)	Action by
	Officers presented their report to the Committee.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be approved. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed approval unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be approval as per the Officers' recommendation and as per the addendum.	

35.	66 LONG LANE, ICKENHAM 39319/APP/2010/1602 (Agenda Item 12)	Action by
	Officers presented their report to the Committee.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be approved. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed approval unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be approval as per the Officers' recommendation and as per the addendum.	
36.	RUISLIP NURSING HOME,173 WEST END ROAD, RUISLIP 19817/APP/2010/1703 (Agenda Item 13)	Action by
	Officers presented their report to the Committee. As a matter of clarity the Committee asked Officers to specify the number of cycle spaces made available on site – which would be 10. Members asked Officers to include a condition relating to the use of power-point vehicles. Planning Officers agreed to include a condition relating to the use of power-point vehicles and would agree the wording with the Chairman and Labour Lead.	
	The Committee agreed that the proposed design would make the site look neater. Officers informed Members that the additional bedrooms would be en suites. Officers also informed Members that the building material samples would be agreed with Officers.	
	Members agreed the following additional conditions:	
	1. Condition 2 (M2 External materials to match) to be replaced with M1 (Samples to be submitted).	
	2. Additional condition to be added, the wording of which to be agreed with conservative and labour leads, requiring details of a charging point and parking/storage for motorised wheelchairs/scooters.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be approved. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed approval unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be approval as per the Officers' recommendation with additional conditions and as per the addendum.	
37.	PRIORS FARM, WEST END ROAD, RUISLIP 14699/APP/2010/1493 (Agenda Item 14)	Action by
	Officers presented their report to the Committee.	
	It was moved and seconded that the application be approved. On being put to the vote, the Committee agreed approval unanimously.	
	Resolved – That the application be approval as per the Officers'	

	recommendation and as per the addendum.	
38.	ANY ITEMS TRANSFERRED FROM PART 1 (Agenda Item 15)	Action by
	None.	
39.	ANY OTHER BUSINESS IN PART 2 (Agenda Item 16)	Action by
	None.	

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Natasha Dogra on 01895 277488. Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.

